Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

Note: this decision list is for guidance only. The text of the minutes, which may be different, is definitive.

Part A – Items considered in public

LICEN	CE FOR TURKISH MANGAL, ALGORES LANE	PREMISES Turkish Mangal 160 Balgores Lane Gidea Park RM2 6BS DETAILS OF APPLICATION An application for a premises licent APPLICANTS Mr Mesut Kaya 35, Walton House Montclare Street London 22 7ET 1. Details of the application:		ensing Act 2003 ("the Act").
		Late Night Refreshment – Indo	ors – Take-Away only after	midnight
		Day	Start	Finish
		Sunday to Wednesday	23:00hrs	00:00hrs
		Thursday to Saturday	23:00hrs	02:30hrs
		Supply of Alcohol – On the pre	mises only	
		Day	Start	Finish
		Monday to Sunday	12:00hrs	23:30hrs

Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

	 November 2012 for up to 50 2. Seasonal variations and no 	on-standard timings	00hrs the next day. s applied for in the application.
	The second was a TEN to pe 17 November 2012 for up to The third was a TEN to perm	50 people from 23.00hrs to	02.00hrs the next day.
	The first was a Late TEN to p 11 November 2012 for up to	ermit Late Night Refreshme	ent on Friday 9 and Saturday
	There have been three Temp applications were made on 1	oorary Event Notices (TENs)	for this premises. All three
	Sunday to Thursday Friday and Saturday	23:00hrs 23:00hrs	01:00hrs 02:00hrs
	Day	Start	Finish
	At the start of the hearing, the and made the following chan	ges to his application for late	

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

		The applicant acted in accordance with premises licence regulations 25 and 26 relating to the advertising of the application. The required newspaper advertisement was installed in the Yellow Advertiser on Wednesday 14 November 2012.
	4:	Summary:
		There were seven valid representations against this application from interested parties.
		There were four representations against this application from responsible authorities.
	5.	Representations:
		Representation from the interested parties
		The interested parties' representations fall mainly under the heading of Public Safety and the Prevention of Public Nuisance.
		Representation from the responsible authority
		The four responsible authorities' representations outline a number of points they wish the Sub-Committee to consider.
		There were no representations from the following responsible authorities: The London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority The Health & Safety Enforcing Authority The Trading Standards Service

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

Children & Families Service Health Service
6. Determination of Application
Decision:
Consequent upon the hearing held on 19 December 2012, the Sub-Committee's decision regarding the application for a Premises Licence for Turkish Mangal is as set out below, for the reasons shown:
The Sub-Committee was obliged to determine this application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives, which are:
 The prevention of crime and disorder Public safety The prevention of public nuisance The protection of children from harm
In making its decision, the Sub-Committee also had regard to the Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 and Havering's Licensing Policy.
In addition, the Sub-Committee took account of its obligations under s17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, and Articles 1 and 8 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998.
Agreed Facts Facts/Issues
Whether the granting of the premises licence would undermine

Agenda Topic Decision

<u>г</u>		
	th	ne licensing objectives.
	The prevention • of public nuisance and The prevention of crime and disorder	The Council's Noise Specialist on behalf of Public Protection stated that there were objections based on the close proximity of properties both above and opposite the premises. He asked the Sub-Committee to consider placing restrictions on the length of time the premises was open for late night refreshment should it be minded to grant the application.
	•	The Licensing Officer said that even though the applicant had amended the application to remove the request to sell alcohol, there remained objections to the hours requested. He referred to the location and reiterated what the Council's Noise Specialist had said about the mixed nature of the immediate community and added that the additional hours would not only add to nuisance for those living near-by but was likely to prove attractive to those who were returning home late and, possibly affected by alcohol consumption, were looking for food outlets rather than disperse quickly to their homes. This could have a negative impact on the locality.
		He further stated that the applicant appeared to be relying principally on signage to manage the behaviour of patrons and he considered that this might not be as effective as it appeared. In addition he reminded the Sub-Committee that Havering's Licensing Policy (012) stated that an application for a licence outside those defined in the policy would be considered on their merits, however, regulated activities would normally be permitted until 23.30 hours in residential areas

Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

and until 00.30 hours in mixed use areas. He informed the Sub-Committee that in this instance, the Licensing Service deemed the area to be a mixed use one.
He concluded by drawing the Sub-Committee's attention to the fact that the applicant had recently been prosecuted for repeated failure to cease the provision of late night refreshment despite several warnings. Mr Kaya had admitted the offences and the Licensing Service was pleased to see that he was seeking a proper licence. The Service remained, however, sceptical about his intention to fully implement the requirements of the Act.
 PC Fern sought clarification about whether the late night refreshment application was eat in, take-away or a mix and being informed by the Applicant's solicitor that it would be simply be for a take-away service, he informed the Sub- Committee that the police, whilst welcoming the applicant's decision not to ask for the sale of alcohol, still had reservations concerning the impact the premises would have on the local community should it be granted the times requested.
 A resident, Mr Garn, stated that whilst he too welcomed the removal of the request to sell alcohol, he – and other neighbouring residents – remained concerned about the additional late night disturbance they were likely to experience (car doors slamming, loud voices from people under the influence of alcohol) along with a possible increase in litter as people leaving the premises and having consumed their

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

purchases, discarded the packaging on their way out of the area. He considered that even the amended times were still too late for neighbouring residential properties.
 Mr Harrington, on behalf of the applicant, stated that a similar property traded at 81 Balgores Lane and his client was only requesting parity with this venue. He reminded the Sub- Committee that the premises was located in a parade of shops which had residential accommodation above them and that all the objections from residents were made from those living away from his client's premises, There had been no objection from either those people living in the properties above the shops or those living opposite.
He concluded by saying that the issue remaining was simply the terminal hour. He and his client considered the amended times to be wholly reasonable and that the impact on the area would be minimal, but would provide it with an alternative outlet late at night from which to choose.
 PC Fern asked how the applicant proposed to manage the premises after it had made the transition from eat-in to take- away only as chairs and tables would encourage customers to sit and eat in. He was still not convinced about there being minimal impact on the community as the extractor fans would be running a lot longer and that could constitute a nuisance.
 The applicant's representative assured the Sub-Committee that his client would remove all external tables and chairs and

Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

within the premises itself would clear and stack tables and chairs and place a barrier across the room to ensure there could be no mistake that further purchases were counter sales only for consumption off the premises.
 The Chairman asked whether the CCTV provision referred to in Section M of the Operating Schedule would remain even though permission to sell alcohol had been withdrawn. The Applicant confirmed that CCTV would still be in place for the protection of customers and staff.
 PC Fern asked the Sub-Committee to consider attaching the first three conditions proposed by the Police in their written representation to the licence if granted (referring to the removal and stacking of tables and chairs and that the premises would operate as a take-away service only from 23:00), the other suggested conditions being made irrelevant by the withdrawal of the application for an alcohol licence.
 Also raised was the fact that the advertisement had stated that from Sunday to Wednesday a terminal hour of midnight was requested and the amended application sought a further hour on those days and extended the period to Thursday. Mr Harrington submitted that overall, the application as advertised was for much more than the amended version, and that the application had had adequate publicity for any potential objectors to make representation.

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

Lice as The mo onl dis Pol lice late Thi cor	nsing objectives as contain well as Havering Council's e Sub-Committee accepted rning had removed the req y. The objectors present n order and public nuisance. icy 012 which stated that 0 nsable activities in a prima er than that would be taken s being the position, the Su cerns of the objectors, the	Licensing Policy. I that the amended application juest to sell alcohol and was naintained their objections of Reference had also been r 20.30 hours was an appropri	3, the Licensing Guidelines on presented to it that for late-night refreshment n the basis of crime and nade to Havering's Licensing ate time for the cessation of blicy suggested that anything ial case.
ope	eration.		
	er due consideration of all in the terror of all in the terror of terror		vas prepared to issue a late-
Late Nig	ht Refreshment – Indoo	rs – Take-Away only after	midnight
Day		Start	Finish
	to Thursday	23:00hrs	00:00hrs
Friday a	nd Saturday	23:00hrs	01:00hrs
nur Sui pre	nber of objections might no nday to Wednesday been i vious issues of non-compl	Sub-Committee was not cor of have been greater had a c recorded in the advertiseme iance with permitted hours of Kaya would adhere strictly to	closing time of 1.00am nt. He added that given f operation the Sub-

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

licence as granted.
In addition, the Sub-Committee was adding to the licence the three conditions requested by the Police and noted that all references to the sale of alcohol stated in the applicant's operating schedule was to be removed from it.